



NOTIFICATION

Sub: Provisional results of PhD Admission Interview 2022 held on 12.05.2022, 13.05.2022 & 17.05.2022 subject to approval of Academic Council.

Sl. No.	Name of the Candidate	Name of the faculty	Suggestion of the Experts of respective Interview Board	Proposed Action
1.	KAJARI BANDYOPAD HYAY	Faculty : Medicine, Subject : Community Medicine Institute: College of Medicine and Sagar Dutta Hospital, Kolkata	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• In the review of literature/ rationale section - ongoing National Nutrition Mission (Poshan Aviyan), the priority program of the country should be included• Criteria of selection of control block may be provided• Reduction 10% of malnutrition during study period- justification / feasibility needed• Maternal characteristics, which may have some relation to fetal outcome and child growth as suggested may be included.• As this is a single researcher project the no of SCs to be covered may be considered(based on feasibility) to be decreased.• Methodology of training (training plan) of field level and allied workers in early detection of growth faltering and to manage growth faltering by providing targeted nutritional counseling services to be shared.• Methodology of qualitative data collection (stakeholder meeting/ qualitative interview etc.) may be added.• This is a single researcher project and ASHAs/ others may need suitable incentives for additional home visits and other activities; so advanced consideration for sufficient funding is needed.• Plan of supervision and monitoring of data collection/interview/ interventions to be provided.	Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.
2	DEBJANI SEN GUPTA	Faculty : Medicine Subject : Community Medicine Institute: CNMC, Kolkata	<p>It is not desirable to conduct a PhD-level research study in a single centre /OPD setting with such a small sample size. However, the proposal may be resubmitted with some modifications/ additions for further consideration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increasing the sample size.• Multicentre study.• Research questions/ aims/ objectives to be spelt out clearly – these sections need reframing.• Qualitative component to be added.• Inclusion of facility survey (as feasible) like Govt/ Private/ NGO service providers etc.• References are to be cited scientifically.	Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.

MOUSUMI DATTA	Faculty : Medicine, Subject : Community Medicine Institute: Medical College & Hospital, KolKata	<p>The submitted proposal, in its current form, is not acceptable as a PhD thesis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research question – poorly identified. • Single centred study. • Small sample size. • Possibility of huge recall bias- data quality will be questionable. • The methodology is not suitable for process evaluation. • Evaluation framework cannot be tested. <p>However, the candidate, if interested, can think about research topic on other areas of medical education like evaluation of CBME etc.</p>	Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.
4. ANANYA GHOSHAL	Faculty : Medicine, Subject : Community Medicine Institute: Burdwan Medical College & Hospital, Burdwan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are on the rise in our country and the proposed mixed-method study on risk factors of non-communicable diseases among tribal population may generate useful evidences on the prevalence, correlates, perceived risk and barriers to care among tribal adult population of a district of West Bengal. • The aims and objectives of the study are clearly spelt out and the candidate seems to possess the competence of the proposed research. • It has been proposed that in the third phase -Framework analysis of primary health care system of the district will be done as well as gaps/ challenges/barriers etc. in providing services/interventions etc for NCD risk factors and NCDs will be identified through key-informant interviews (KII) / FGD at different levels of health care delivery cascade. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please specify the types of facilities? Does it include Pvt facilities/ NGO run facilities? - It is suggested to conduct FGDs, if possible, with representatives of AYUSH doctors, local quack/ unregistered tribal practitioners etc. to get the perspectives of these service providers also. - It has been mentioned that qualitative data collection procedure will be continued till data saturation. A little bit more detailing of minimum number of FGDs with ASHA/ Health & wellness centre/ ANMs etc planned to be conducted is suggested? Any criteria of selection of the centres? - It seems that the time allotted for this phase is not adequate. • It has been proposed that for socio-behavioural models will be used as appropriate (e.g. Anderson model) to assess behavioural intent and health care seeking. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Methodology may be shared in brief. • Work plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It seems that proposed time allotment is not tallying with the work plan. - 3 rd year: FGD/ IDI with study population: probably it may not require one full year. - 4 th year first 6 months: framework analysis with both quantitative and qualitative component: is the time frame adequate for these activities? • Biochemical risk factors are not included due to feasibility issues. Can it be considered in a subsample of population to give an idea of prevalence? 	Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.

<p>SWARNALI CHAKRABORTY</p>	<p>Faculty : Nursing, Subject : Paediatric (Child Health) Nursing, Institute: Burdwan Medical College & Hospital, Burdwan</p>	<p>Methodology: 1. Selection of subject → Birth of weight of the baby within 1000-1500gm. → Mechanical ventilation concept will be changed to baby respiratory support. 2. Intervention protocol →Should be standardized. 3. Sample size →Correct sample size should be calculated. 4. Operational definition → Definition of prematurity should be as per the variable measured in the study. Outcome of the study → Outcome is non significant so research title may be modified with a follow up study. (At 40 weeks of corrected age & at the age of 6 (six) months). 5. The intervention allowed or disallowed to either groups may have ethical consideration / sanctions as well.</p>	<p>Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.</p>
<p>6. SAHELI SENAPATI</p>	<p>Faculty : Nursing, Subject : Psychiatric (Mental Health) Nursing, Institute: Midnapur Medical College & Hospital, Midnapur</p>	<p>1. The module of the assertive training should be under the reference of an ideal module . 2. The module most supersede the existing content present in B.Sc Nursing curriculum. 3. Researcher has to undergo training as trainer. 4. Longitudinal study may be thought by taking sample from 2 no. year B.Sc. Nursing student. 5. The final outcome of the study must be identified and how it is helpful for the future should be mentioned.</p>	<p>Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.</p>
<p>7. RITUPARNA JASH</p>	<p>Faculty : Allied and Paramedical Health Sciences Education, Subject :Psychiatry, Institute:IP GME&R, Kolkata</p>	<p>1. Sample size needs to be calculated & justified. 2. Operational definition of family members required. 3. Family members need to screened for psychopathology. 4. Too many scales used that may lead to statistical problems need to optimize. 5. Expected time for assessment needs to be mentioned. 6. Introduction of a control and intervention group. 7. Base line disease severity of OCD in patients in the study families & subsequent change in severity needs to be taken into account. 8. Patients perception of family stress at baseline & post intervention must be taken in account. 9. Statistical analysis needs to be elaborated.</p>	<p>Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.</p>

	INDRASHIS PODDER	Faculty : Medicine , Subject : Dermatolog y Institute: College of Medicine and SagarDutta Hospital, Kolkata	Candidate presented his work & defended it. Major concern raised :- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology for sample size calculation needs to be better defined. • Parameters for identification of biomarkers were not well defined. • An alternative title suggested. • Rationale +Introduction – More elaborate about + References. • Objective to be modified. • Outcomes be more clear + Cost effectiveness of successful project. • Ethical aspects be detailed. <p>Suggestion : Revision.</p>	Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.
9.	SUSMIT KUMAR DAS	Faculty : Medicine Subject : Regenerativ e Medicine and Translational Science Institute: School of Tropical Medicine , Kolkata	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title too broad (specify) • Cite references for foetal tissue and scan free regeneration. • Define where from Cord Blood will be available. • Type of study has not been specified. Whether clinical trial is not clear. Candidate has not registered for clinical trial. • Express in details of importance of components of Cord Blood to be studied. • Cite details of PICO. • Sample size has not been calculated based on statistical analysis. • Study protocol is not defined. The control is not well defined. • The entire study protocol has to be given in more detailed. • Research gaps and background to research problem need to be more elaborate. • Outcomes have not been discussed as the protocol was not appropriately presented. • The candidate needs to be resubmit his proposal. <p>Suggestion : Total review & resubmit.</p>	Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.
10.	MASUMA YASMIN	Faculty : Allied and Paramedical Health Sciences Education, Subject : Public Health Institute: IPGME&R, Kolkata	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should mention the reason of selecting only 5 districts of W.B. and nor the entire of it. • The basis for sample size needs to be better explained. • Randomisation of samples need to be defined. • Better title has been suggested. • Program to detailed, when started? • Specify the studyPopulation. • Regarding adherence, how to grade it. • How to address the issue of recall bias. • Decide on the instruments-(fix) <p>Suggestion : Modification / Revision</p>	Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.

<p>BAIDURJYA BHATTACHAR JEE</p>	<p>Faculty : Homoeopathy Subject : Practice of medicine Institute: Calcutta Homoeopathy Medical College & Hospital, Kolkata</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efficacy (in title) • The candidate has presented his work on administration of individualized homeopathic medicine as add to conventional medicine to COPD patients. • Extensive discussion was held on his study protocol. Most members felt the study has too many variable and need to be simplified. • He was also advised to consult a statistician to be clear about the exact sample size for proper analysis. • Logistic of study also need to be worsted out suggestion were given that he simplified the study protocol. • Rest of the aspects were also discussed. • Whether both homeopathic and allopathic permitted simultaneously? • Registration problem? Phase of trial? • What stage of COPD patients? Specify patients. • Description of homeopathic medicine – whether individualized formulations permitted in clinical trial. • Affiliated to Calcutta Homoeopathic Medical College & Study in Siliguri – Whether permitted? • Secondary objectives to modify. • Sample size calculation to be more detailed. • Randomization to detail more. • Which group of bronchodilator to include a all enhance? • How many medicines in homeopathic group. • <u>'Individualized Medicine'</u> – to review. Rather be specific. • Statistical analysis – to detail. • <u>Independent outcome assessor.</u> <p>Suggestion : Modification / Revision</p>	<p>Resubmission of Research Proposal after detailed modification as instructed by experts during the Interview, within a period of 15(fifteen) days (from the date of notification) for further review by the Interview Board.</p>
---	---	---	--



Prof.(Dr.) Dilip Kumar Pal
Dean, Faculty of Modern Medicine
The W.B. University of Health Sciences